The current status of post-quantum cryptography

Douglas Stebila

WATERLOO NSERC

SERENE-RISC 2020 Workshop • 2020-10-21

Why post-quantum?



SERENE-RISC is...

... An integrated cybersecurity network that connects those who generate knowledge with those who put it into practice. SERENE-RISC supports collaboration between universities, industry, government and non-

Cryptographic building blocks



When will a large-scale quantum computer be built?

"I estimate a 1/7 chance of breaking RSA-2048 by 2026 and a 1/2 chance by 2031."

> — Michele Mosca, University of Waterloo

https://eprint.iacr.org/2015/1075

http://qurope.eu/system/files/u7/93056_Quantum%20Manifesto_WEB.pdf https://globalriskinstitute.org/publications/quantum-threat-timeline/



Quantum Technologies Timeline





Post-quantum cryptography

a.k.a. quantum-resistant algorithms

Cryptography believed to be resistant to attacks by quantum computers

Uses only classical (non-quantum) operations to implement

Not as well-studied as current encryption

- Less confident in its security
- More implementation tradeoffs



Confidence in quantum-resistance



Fast computation

Small communication

Standardizing post-quantum cryptography



"IAD will initiate a transition to quantum resistant algorithms in the not too distant future."

– NSA Information Assurance Directorate, Aug. 2015



Post-Quantum Cryptography

Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization

Post-quantum candidate algorithm nominations are due November 30, 2017. Call for Proposals

Call for Proposals Announcement

NIST has initiated a process to solicit, evaluate, and standardize one or more quantum-resistant public-key cryptographic algorithms. Currently, public-key cryptographic algorithms are specified in FIPS 186-4, *Digital Signature Standard*, as well as special publications SP 800-56A Revision 2, *Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography* and SP 800-56B Revision 1, *Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key-Establishment Schemes Using Integer*

NIST Post-quantum Crypto Project timeline



http://www.nist.gov/pqcrypto

Will we be ready in time?



Timeline to replace cryptographic algorithms



NIST Round 3

NIST Round 3

<u>Finalists</u>

Key encapsulation mechanisms

- Code-based: Classic McEliece
- Lattice-based: Kyber, NTRU, Saber
 - At most one of these 3 will be standardized

Signatures

- Lattice-based: Dilithium, Falcon
 - At most one of these 2 will be standardized
- Multivariate: Rainbow

<u>Alternate candidates</u>

Key encapsulation mechanisms

- Code-based: BIKE, HQC
- Lattice-based: FrodoKEM, NTRU Prime
- Isogeny-based: SIKE

Signatures

- Symmetric-based: Picnic, SPHINCS+
- Multivariate: GeMSS

NIST Round 3 KEM Finalists





Runtimes (seconds) (level 1 - 128 bit security)

Based on Round 2 submission documents; AVX2 runtimes normalized

0.000022

NIST Round 3 Signature Finalists



Public key and signature sizes (bytes)



keygen ■ sign ■ verify

Based on Round 2 submission documents; AVX2 runtimes normalized

NIST's priorities for Round 3 analysis

<u>Cryptanalysis</u>

- Better understand CoreSVP hardness of lattice-based schemes
- Does choice of lattice structure matter?
- Decide between Kyber, NTRU, Saber
- Decide between Dilithium and Falcon

Implementations

- Side-channel resistant implementations
- Easy of implementation
- Performance data in Internet protocols
- Performance data for hardware implementations

Transitioning to post-quantum crypto

Open Quantum Safe Project



https://openquantumsafe.org/ • https://github.com/open-quantum-safe/

Prototyping PQ crypto in network protocols

- Designs for PQ and hybrid signatures in X.509 [1]
- Assess whether PQ algorithms satisfy TLS and SSH protocol size constraints [2]
- Measure network performance of PQ algorithms in TLS [3]
- IETF Internet-Drafts specifying hybrid post-quantum + traditional key exchange in TLS [4] and SSH



[1] <u>https://eprint.iacr.org/2017/460</u> • [2] <u>https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/858</u> • [3] <u>https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/1447</u>
[4] <u>https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-tls-hybrid-design-01</u>

New approaches to protocols: PQ TLS without signatures

Problem: Postquantum signatures are bigger than postquantum KEMs.

Idea: Use KEMs for authenticated key exchange in the TLS handshake to save space.

- Simple to implement
- With isogenies, can get handshake size very close to current sizes
- Implicit rather than explicit authentication
- Different forward secrecy and downgrade resilience properties
- Increased benefits when caching intermediate CA certificates
- Interesting questions about certificate lifecycle management
- Working with Cloudflare to test within their infrastructure



Post-quantum crypto @ UWaterloo

- UW involved in two NIST Round 3 finalists (Kyber, NTRU) and two Round 3 alternate candidates (FrodoKEM, SIKE)
- Large team led by David Jao working on isogenybased crypto
- Quantum cryptanalysis led by Michele Mosca
- CryptoWorks21 training program for quantumresistant cryptography
- + quantum key distribution, quantum computing, ...

The current status of post-quantum cryptography

Douglas Stebila WATERLOO

NIST Round 3: https://nist.gov/pqcrypto

Quantum threat timeline:

https://globalriskinstitute.org/publications/quantumthreat-timeline/

Open Quantum Safe project:

https://openquantumsafe.org/ https://github.com/open-quantum-safe/ Prototyping PQ crypto in network protocols:

https://eprint.iacr.org/2017/460 (X.509 certs) https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/858 (SSH/TLS compat.) https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/1447 (TLS perf.) https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-tls-hybrid-design-01 (TLS hybrid spec)

New protocol designs:

https://eprint.iacr.org/2020/534 (PQ TLS without sigs) https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/1356 (other key exchange)

SERENE-RISC 2020 Workshop • 2020-10-21